Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/805,170	ABRAHAMS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	HO SHIU	2457
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>HO SHIU</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Thomas Lebens Reg. # 38221</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 January 2010	Time: <u>5:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 9 and 10		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. Tof the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a writte e record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Ho Ting Shiu/ Examiner, Art Unit 2457	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A call was placed to applicant's attorney Thomas Lebens Reg. # 38221 regarding an examiner's amendment. The examiner's amendment was needed in order to place the case in condidion for allowance as there were pending 101 issues. Thomas Lebens has approved of the examiner's amendment in order to place the case in condidion for allowance.